## Lockout letter.



Inbox

To help protect your privacy, some content in this message has been blocked. To re-enable the blocked features, click here.

To always show content from this sender, click here.

I am strongly against the lock out laws which have attempted to place a blanket cure, imparting blame upon ALL members of the city and ALL venues for the actions of very few.

I don't feel they have been successful in what they set out to do and I feel that pushing more young people towards venues encouraging gambling can only end in disaster for the next generation.

Clover Moore's solution should be sort after, rather than the views and opinions of those who do not live in or around the direct vicinity of those areas affected most adversely.

I hope that the board can see beyond the simplicity of suggesting those against the lockout are "pro violence" which is of course the opposite of the truth.

I hope the board takes into consideration the needs of young people and not continuing to hold the city to the ransom of those whom own property and those whom yell the loudest-namely the senior members of the community.

Should the casinos continue to be exempt I demand reasonable explanation why we continue to justify putting young people in the community in the direct vicinity of what is truly one of the more dangerous activities targeted at societies most vulnerable- Gambling. And why out premier does not seem to care.

Young people are not all violent, not all drunks, not all lazy or any of the other blanket generalisations the media and the senior members of the community have imparted upon them. Many examples show they are more responsible than ever. I would urge the board to overturn these laws which cripple the city and drive out the youth because I don't want my city to suffer any further from the continual affects that the lockout laws trickle down to all aspects of the deterioration of Sydney's culture.

Thank you, Jeremy Graham.

