

 Reply all |   Delete Junk |  ...



# Against Lock Out Laws



Jolina Jolina 

To: Liquor Law Review; 



 Reply all | 

Sun 3/04

Inbox

To whom this may concern,

I am against the lock-out laws for the following reasons:

-the lock-out laws not being connected to the incident that occurred which has lead to the lockout laws (it didn't occur after 1:30am, it didn't occur in a venue...while it is a devastating situation and more awareness towards issues such as these needs to be created-it doesn't quite justify such a drastic decision)

-it has negatively affected enjoying sydney's nightlife, and while violent situations CAN unfortunately occur in many cities of the world when alcohol is involved, other cities have managed to deal with the situation in a more rational matter. The majority of people enjoying sydney's nightlife and therefore investing into these businesses are people who want no violence, who have never been a part of any violence, and just peacefully want to keep enjoying the freedom of enjoying a night out in sydney

-the hypocrisy of excluding the star casino from the lockout laws is just too clear to the public, and again shows that money rules decisions. Too much money flowing in from the star casino allows for motivation to let people drink and gamble there without any time restrictions, basically going against the whole reasoning for even having these lockout laws.

-when asked to justify these laws, and asked to respond to all the peoples questions, Mike Baird is only capable of avoiding the questions and responding with something along the lines of "this will all be considered when the review comes back". Not being able to justify decisions made by the government, shows absolutely no transparency and goes against the idea that these people are representing the actual people living in the state.

Thank you.

Jolina