



GET HEARD

THE SYDNEY LOCKOUT SURVEY

— RESULTS —

*THE*SOCIALITES



++ THE PLUS ONES

THE PEOPLE

23,530

TOTAL RESPONDENTS

across both surveys.


The majority of the respondents were **Sydneysiders**.

Those impacted permanently by the laws.

1	Local	85%
2	From inter-state	9%
3	International	6%

The **survey audience demographics** matched that of the CBD (visitors and residents).


Note: The percentage of 35+ on the survey was higher than that of CBD residents and visitors.




More about **the respondents.**

A diverse mix of everyday Sydneysiders. The percentage of 35+ on the survey was actually higher than that of CBD residents and visitors.

Everyday Sydney residents, from all walks of life.




Well educated singles and couples.



Takeaway ban after 10:00pm.


Unjustified



Justified

No shots, doubles or pre-mixes after midnight.

Unjustified



Justified

No entry after 1:30am.

No entry after 1:30am.



Last drinks at 3:00am.

Last drinks at 3:00am.



GENERAL

Your rating of the government's handling of the situation?





GET HEARD

THE SYDNEY LOCKOUT *Redesign*

— RESULTS —

THE SOCIALITES




⁺⁺
+ THE PLUS ONES

TAKEAWAY BAN:



Majority: No ban. 39% who voted 'Later' were asked:
HOW LATE?



SHOTS, DOUBLES AND PREMIXES:



Majority: No ban. 28% who voted 'Later' were asked:


HOW LATE?




ENTRY LOCKOUT:



Majority: No lockout. 24% who voted 'Later' were asked:
HOW LATE?



LAST DRINKS:



Majority: No limit. 26% who voted 'Later' were asked:
HOW LATE?




APPLICABILITY:

Would you have different laws for:



What areas should these laws apply?




Should venues be able to apply for exemptions?



OTHER FACTORS:

For any laws removed/reduced, the substitute should be:




Would you like higher or lower police presence?

(1 - much lower, 3 - the same, 5 - much higher)



How late should transport run?



THE LEGISLATION:

How often would you review the laws?



Should the public be able to vote directly on the laws?



Should the laws only apply to a certain age group?



SUMMARY

What Sydney thinks.

The laws overall: Unjustified.

The government's handling of the situation:
So far, inadequate.

What Sydney wants.

Takeaway: No ban or at least an extension until midnight.

Shots, doubles and premixes: No ban or at least an extension until 2am.

Lockout: No lockout or at least an extension until 3am.

Last drinks: No limit or at least an extension until 5am.

How Sydney wants it.

Applicability: The same laws for all venues, applicable across all areas, but particularly in 'trouble areas.' Venues should be able to apply for exemptions.

Other factors: Transport should run all night, and any reduction or removal of the laws must be substituted by higher patron penalties first and foremost, with possibly higher police presence.

The legislation: The laws should apply to all age groups, and the public should be able to vote directly on them. The laws should only be reviewed if required, or annually at most.

The Sydney lockdown surveys were conducted by The Socialites and
The Plus Ones through **Get Heard**.


Our primary goal was to bring clarity to the situation by:

- getting an accurate understanding of Sydneysiders' position on the laws, and
- defining their exact opinion on the optimal regulation of their nightlife.

*The data in this report accurate, to the best of our knowledge, as at the 17th of March 2016.
Any inaccuracies we are notified of will be addressed as a priority.*

The surveys are still open, and may be completed at:
thesocialites.net/sydneylockout

For additional information, comments, interviews and more contact:



———— PLEASE SHARE THE SURVEY AND THE REPORT ————