



**COMPLETE**

Collector: [REDACTED]  
Started: [REDACTED]  
Last Modified: [REDACTED]  
Time Spent: [REDACTED]  
IP Address: [REDACTED]

PAGE 2: Part 2 – Key issues for stakeholder comment

**Q1: The Lotteries and Art Unions Act 1901 aims to ensure that, on balance, Government and the community as a whole benefit from lottery activities. The Act seeks to protect players and the community by restricting who can conduct and benefit from lottery activities and ensuring that where such activities are conducted, they are done so fairly, with integrity and in a way that minimises community harm. Do the objects as set out in section 2 of the Act remain valid?**

Yes

**Q2: Do you agree with the proposed NSW model on page 10 of the discussion paper?**

Yes,

Tell us why:  
It's simplified and in-line with other states such as Victoria.

**Q3: Is there another approach that might be more suitable for regulating community gaming and lottery activities in NSW?**

*Respondent skipped this question*

**Q4: Do the requirements of the proposed model adequately address the risk of harm to the community that may arise from community lotteries and trade promotions?**

Yes

**Q5: Without reducing the integrity of the regulatory measures, what changes could be made to the make the application process easier?**

It's fine as it is, online submission and approval/permits within 24 hours, which helps greatly.

**Q6: How can Government further assist organisations and businesses conducting lottery activities and trade promotions to meet their regulatory obligations?**

If all the Governments in all states have the same regulations and rules, i.e. a Federal law as this would make it easier for businesses to include draws and lotteries for all of Australia, rather than restrict it to some states due to their strict regulations.

**Q7: In section 8 of the discussion paper, it identifies that the Act does not provide for a civil penalty regime for breaches of conduct of lottery activities. Should a civil penalty regime be considered?**

Yes,

If you answered yes, which of the current criminal offences, if any, should be addressed via civil penalties?  
All of it to act as a deterrent to non-compliance. Introduce this as a first step before criminal proceedings as generally the issue can be settled with a civil penalty for first offenders and would save time and cost as well.

**Q8: Are there any other matters that should be considered in this review of the Act and regulations?**

*Respondent skipped this question*



**Q9: Your contact details**

Name

Jinnie Ng

Organisation

Australian Grand Prix Corporation

Email Address

[REDACTED]

**Q10: How did you find out about this review? Select option/s**

Email from L&GNSW

