



**COMPLETE**

Collector: [REDACTED]  
 Started: [REDACTED]  
 Last Modified: [REDACTED]  
 Time Spent: [REDACTED]  
 IP Address: [REDACTED]

PAGE 2: Part 2 – Key issues for stakeholder comment

<p><b>Q1: The Lotteries and Art Unions Act 1901 aims to ensure that, on balance, Government and the community as a whole benefit from lottery activities. The Act seeks to protect players and the community by restricting who can conduct and benefit from lottery activities and ensuring that where such activities are conducted, they are done so fairly, with integrity and in a way that minimises community harm. Do the objects as set out in section 2 of the Act remain valid?</b></p>	<p>Yes</p>
<p><b>Q2: Do you agree with the proposed NSW model on page 10 of the discussion paper?</b></p>	<p>No,                  Tell us why:                  I prefer the current system - it is a safeguard for me and for any one who enters a trade promotion (our chance to win a thank you prize level is limited to about \$2,500 in general. And we have found your system to be effective and efficient.</p>
<p><b>Q3: Is there another approach that might be more suitable for regulating community gaming and lottery activities in NSW?</b></p>	<p>As is please</p>
<p><b>Q4: Do the requirements of the proposed model adequately address the risk of harm to the community that may arise from community lotteries and trade promotions?</b></p>	<p>No,                  If you answered no, explain why:                  It is reassuring for the participants and for us to know that there is some legal basis and authority involved in selecting winners - i.e. your involvement shows it is a fair and proper process (which for us, it is anyway, but is nice to have the legal evidence from your permit and approved entry rules as well).</p>
<p><b>Q5: Without reducing the integrity of the regulatory measures, what changes could be made to the make the application process easier?</b></p>	<p>Am personally happy as is - is quick and efficient.</p>
<p><b>Q6: How can Government further assist organisations and businesses conducting lottery activities and trade promotions to meet their regulatory obligations?</b></p>	<p>No comment</p>
<p><b>Q7: In section 8 of the discussion paper, it identifies that the Act does not provide for a civil penalty regime for breaches of conduct of lottery activities. Should a civil penalty regime be considered?</b></p>	<p>No</p>



Review of the Lotteries and Art Unions Act 1901 Survey

**Q8: Are there any other matters that should be considered in this review of the Act and regulations?**

Am happy with the current.

---

**PAGE 3: Part 3 – Tell us about you**

---

**Q9: Your contact details**

Name	Philip DERHAM
Organisation	Derham Marketing Research Pty. Ltd.
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Phone (optional)	[REDACTED]

---

**Q10: How did you find out about this review? Select option/s**

Email from L&GNSW

---

